

NOTICE OF MEETING

CABINET MEMBER SIGNING

Thursday, 11th June, 2026, 11.30 am - Alexandra House, Station Road, N22 7TY (watch the [live meeting](#))

Councillors: Tammy Hymas

Quorum: 1

1. FILMING AT MEETINGS

Please note that this meeting may be filmed or recorded by the Council for live or subsequent broadcast via the Council's internet site or by anyone attending the meeting using any communication method. Although we ask members of the public recording, filming or reporting on the meeting not to include the public seating areas, members of the public attending the meeting should be aware that we cannot guarantee that they will not be filmed or recorded by others attending the meeting. Members of the public participating in the meeting (e.g. making deputations, asking questions, making oral protests) should be aware that they are likely to be filmed, recorded or reported on. By entering the meeting room and using the public seating area, you are consenting to being filmed and to the possible use of those images and sound recordings.

The Chair of the meeting has the discretion to terminate or suspend filming or recording, if in his or her opinion continuation of the filming, recording or reporting would disrupt or prejudice the proceedings, infringe the rights of any individual or may lead to the breach of a legal obligation by the Council.

2. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

To receive any apologies for absence.

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

A member with a disclosable pecuniary interest or a prejudicial interest in a matter who attends a meeting of the authority at which the matter is considered:

- (i) must disclose the interest at the start of the meeting or when the interest becomes apparent, and
- (ii) may not participate in any discussion or vote on the matter and must withdraw from the meeting room.

A member who discloses at a meeting a disclosable pecuniary interest which is not registered in the Register of Members' Interests or the subject of a

pending notification must notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest within 28 days of the disclosure.

Disclosable pecuniary interests, personal interests and prejudicial interests are defined at Paragraphs 5-7 and Appendix A of the Members' Code of Conduct

4. URGENT BUSINESS

The Chair will consider the admission of any late items of Urgent Business. (Late items of Urgent Business will be considered under the agenda item where they appear).

5. DEPUTATIONS / PETITIONS / QUESTIONS

If received

6. VEOLIA WASTE CONTRACT AWARD FOR 2027 FOR HOUSING ESTATES (PAGES 1 - 20)

Report of the Corporate Director of Adults, Housing and Health

Richard Plummer, Committees Manager
Tel – 020 8489 4319
Email: richard.plummer@haringey.gov.uk

Fiona Alderman
Director of Legal & Governance (Monitoring Officer)
George Meehan House, 294 High Road, Wood Green, N22 8JZ

Wednesday, 03 June 2026

Report for:	Cabinet Member Signing, Cabinet Member for Housing, 11 June 2026
Item number:	6
Title:	Veolia Waste Contract award for 2027 for Housing Estates
Report authorised by:	Sara Sutton, Corporate Director Adults Housing and Health
Lead Officer:	Neehara Wijeyesekera, Assistant Director Housing Management
Ward(s) affected:	All
Report for Key / Non Key Decision:	Key Decision

1. Describe the issue under consideration

- 1.1 At its meeting of 10 March 2026, Cabinet resolved to award a contract for recycling & waste collection and street cleansing services, subject to the completion of a 30-day section 20 consultation with leaseholders.
- 1.2 This paper presents the results of the leaseholder consultation for consideration, and it is the view of the council that following the review of all observations received, there is nothing which would prevent the Council from entering into the contract arrangements with Veolia as agreed in March 2026 by Cabinet.

2. Cabinet Member Introduction

- 2.1 n/a

3. Recommendations

It is recommended that the Cabinet Member for Housing:

- 3.1 Approve the final award of the waste contract to the preferred bidder Veolia ES (UK) Ltd for the sum of £230,358,134 commencing from 17 April 2027 for an initial period of 8 years with an option to extend for a further period(s) of 8 years in aggregate, having taken full account of the observations about the housing external cleaning element of the overall contract made by leaseholders, and the Council's comments and responses.

4. Reasons for decision

- 4.1 The council has an obligation to consult with leaseholders under Section 20 of Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 where it intends to award a contract which exceeds 12 months with costs over £100 per year.

- 4.2 Cabinet approved the provisional award of a contract for recycling & waste collection and street cleansing services in March 2026 which contains external cleansing on housing estates as part of the contract award. The decision was subject to the completion of the required Section 20 leasehold consultation and review any observations made.
- 4.3 The council has completed its review of the observations received and reports on the observation received for the Cabinet Member to take into account in making the final award.

5. Alternative options considered

- 5.1 The council could do nothing. However, this would leave the council unable to award the Contract and therefore would not be able to deliver statutory services from April 2027.
- 5.2 The council could decide not to proceed with the award of the contract, however none of the observations received have provided a reason for the council to do so. Not awarding the contract would again leave the council unable to deliver statutory services from April 2027.

6. Background information

- 6.1 During 2025 the council undertook a procurement exercise for recycling & waste collection and street cleansing services, which included services provided across the external areas of housing estates.
- 6.2 In March 2026 Cabinet approved the award of a contract to Veolia ES (UK) Limited starting on 17 April 2027 for an initial 8 year period, with a total value of £230,358,134.
- 6.3 The contract scope includes all statutory waste collection services, including recycling, food waste and non-recycling collections and additional services such as garden waste and bulky waste as well as cleansing of the public highway across the borough.
- 6.4 The contract also provides external cleansing services across the council's housing estates, providing a consistent approach across the highway and estates, and delivering management efficiencies and sharing of resources.
- 6.5 The services provided across housing estates includes litter picking and sweeping of pavements, roads & grassed areas, emptying of litter bins, cleansing of play areas, washing of containers and bin stores and removal of fly-tipping and graffiti.
- 6.6 The services provided to housing estates is estimated to cost approx. £4m per year and will be recharged to the residents of the estates. This is consistent with current practices.
- 6.7 Section 20 of Landlord & Tenant Act 1985 requires landlords to formally consult leaseholders before undertaking qualifying works or entering into qualifying long-term agreements where individual leaseholders' contributions are expected to exceed prescribed financial thresholds. The consultation process is set out in regulations and

involves issuing notices to leaseholders, inviting observations, and, where applicable, allowing leaseholders to nominate contractors. The purpose is to ensure transparency, accountability, and value for money in the procurement of works and services.

- 6.8 This contract is a Qualifying Long Term Agreement for the purposes of the relevant law. The stage one leasehold consultation under section 20 should have taken place prior to going out to tender during 2024. However, while there was large scale engagement in 2024 about the waste contract and methods of delivery options, formal section 20 consultation was not undertaken. The engagement was highlighted in the 10th March 2026 Cabinet decision.
- 6.9 However, to mitigate this omission, in January/February 2026, letters were served which explained the implications to leaseholders and sought views with regard to the long term arrangements for housing estates external cleaning. This highlighted how and why the housing element of the contract would result in a continuation of similar arrangements but under one overall large council wide contract. The letter also indicated that the Council would seek dispensation from stage one consultation. As there were no observations about the tendering process undertaken to date, and no objections to the award, Cabinet provisionally awarded the contract on 10th March 2026 subject to stage two consultation.
- 6.10 The formal stage two section 20 leasehold consultation started on 19 March 2026 and concluded on 19 April 2026, it was delivered to all leaseholders, and received 15 observations in response.
- 6.11 An overview of all observations received and officers' responses is provided in Appendix 1.
- 6.12 The main observations were regarding transparency, fairness, and oversight of costs and service delivery under the new contract, alongside support for improvements such as more consistent cleansing standards, enhanced digital reporting, and clearer performance monitoring. The proposed contract addresses these issues through a more data-driven approach to cost apportionment, strengthened performance management arrangements, defined service standards, including response times and frequencies, and commitments to improved communication, accessibility, and service coverage across all estates.
- 6.13 The cost of the external cleansing services will be recharged to tenants and leaseholders through annual service charges. This is a continuation of external cleansing recharges under contract arrangements, which will proceed into the new contract arrangement based on the new contract award values.
- 6.14 It is the view of officers that following the review of all observations received, there is nothing which would prevent the Council from entering into the arrangements with Veolia.

7. Contribution to the Corporate Delivery Plan 2022-2024 High level Strategic outcomes

7.1 This decision relates to the Responding to the Climate Emergency theme of the Corporate Delivery Plan, specifically supporting:

7.1.1 a cleaner, low waste Haringey,

7.1.2 a greener Haringey,

7.1.3 a zero carbon and climate resilient Haringey, and

7.1.4 better air quality in Haringey.

7.2 The estate environment also contributes towards maintaining environment in line with homes for the future

8. Carbon and Climate Change

8.1 Further comments are not required, as the actions from the previous are still relevant and will support the mobilisation of the contract.

9. Statutory Officers comments (Director of Finance (procurement), Head of Legal and Governance, Equalities)

Finance

9.1 The purpose of this report is to seek approval from the Cabinet Member for Housing and Planning to note the responses received during the consultation process, in order to enable the award of the contract to the preferred bidder, Veolia ES (UK) Limited. Leaseholders were consulted on the proposed external cleansing of housing estates in accordance with Section 20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985.

9.2 In March 2026, Cabinet approved the award of the contract to the preferred bidder, Veolia ES (UK) Limited. The contract is scheduled to commence on 17 April 2027 for an initial term of eight years, with a total contract value of £230 million, which includes the provision of external cleansing services across housing estates.

9.3 The services proposed across housing estates include litter picking; sweeping of pavements, roads, and grassed areas; emptying of litter bins; cleansing of play areas; washing of refuse containers and bin stores; and the removal of fly-tipping and graffiti. The estimated annual cost of these services is approximately £4 million, which will be recharged to estate residents.

9.4 The cost of the external cleansing services will be recharged to tenants and leaseholders through annual service charges from the Housing Services. This is a continuation of external cleansing recharges under contract arrangements, which will proceed into the new contract arrangement based on the new contract award values.

Procurement

- 9.5 Strategic Procurement has been consulted on and notes the contents of this report. There are no procurement ramifications arising from the recommendations at paragraph 3 of the report

Director of Legal & Governance

The Director of Legal and Governance (Monitoring Officer) has been consulted in the preparation of this report.

- 9.6 In accordance with Section 20 of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 (as amended) and Schedule 2 of the Service Charges (Consultation Requirements) (England) Regulations 2003, the Council is required to consult all affected leaseholders and Recognised Tenants' Associations where it wishes to enter into a qualifying long-term agreement - an agreement for the provision of works or service for in excess of one year where the amount payable by any one contributing leaseholder under the agreement exceeds £100 in any one year.
- 9.7 The legislation requires a two-stage consultation process; firstly, before tenders are invited, leaseholders are invited to comment on what the Council intends to do; secondly, once the Council has formulated its proposal – including (provisionally and subject to consultation) to whom to award the contract – leaseholder comments are invited on that proposal. Once that consultation has been concluded, the decision maker must then make a final decision, taking conscientiously into account any observations made. As set out in the body of the report, the Council has not complied with all the statutory consultation requirements. It is open to the Council to apply to the First-tier Tribunal (Property Chamber) for an order dispensing with the statutory requirements, and leaseholders have been advised that that is the Council's intention. This application will take place after the decision to which this report relates.
- 9.8 Pursuant to the provisions of the Council's Contract Standing Order (CSO) 2.01(c), Cabinet may approve the award of a contract where the value of the contract is £500,000 or more and as such the recommendation in paragraph 3.1 of the report is in line with the Council's CSO.
- 9.9 Further to paragraph 9.8 above, and pursuant to the provisions of the Council's CSO 0.08, a decision reserved for Cabinet may be taken by a Cabinet Member with the agreement of the Leader and as such the recommendation seeking approval from the Cabinet Member for Housing in paragraph 3 of the report is line with the Council's CSO so long as the Cabinet Member is taking the decision with the agreement of the Leader.
- 9.10 There is no legal reason why the Cabinet Member should not adopt the recommendations set out in this report.

Equality

- 9.11 An Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA) was undertaken as part of the original Cabinet decision in March 2026 and considered the potential impacts of the new waste, recycling and street cleansing contract, including estate cleansing services. This report relates specifically to the outcome of the statutory Section 20 consultation with leaseholders and does not introduce any substantive changes to the service model or scope previously assessed. Therefore, a further full EqIA has not been required.
- 9.12 The proposal is expected to have overall positive impacts on residents, including those with protected characteristics, through:
- 9.13 Improved and more consistent cleansing standards across housing estates, which particularly benefits older people, disabled residents, and families with young children, who may be more reliant on clean, safe and accessible communal areas.
- 9.14 Enhanced removal of fly-tipping, graffiti and waste, supporting community safety and wellbeing, which can disproportionately affect more vulnerable groups.
- 9.15 A more consistent borough-wide approach to service delivery, improving fairness and service equity across estates.
- 9.16 The Section 20 consultation process was undertaken to ensure leaseholders had the opportunity to provide observations on the proposals. While only a small number of responses (15) were received, key themes included transparency of costs, fairness in charging, and service quality. These have been addressed through strengthened performance monitoring, improved communication commitments, and clearer cost apportionment mechanisms within the contract.
- 9.17 There is a potential financial impact on leaseholders and tenants, as external cleansing costs (approximately £4m per annum) will continue to be recharged through service charges. This may disproportionately affect residents on lower incomes, who may also share protected characteristics. However:
- 9.18 These arrangements represent a continuation of existing charging practices rather than a new charge.
- 9.19 The contract aims to deliver improved value for money and service quality, helping to mitigate the impact of costs.
- 9.20 Residents will continue to have access to existing financial support mechanisms where applicable.
- 9.21 No specific adverse impacts on any protected characteristic group have been identified that would prevent the Council from proceeding with the contract award. The Council will continue to monitor service delivery and resident feedback, including from underrepresented groups, throughout contract mobilisation and delivery, and will take reasonable steps to mitigate any emerging disproportionate impacts.

10. Use of Appendices

10.1 Appendix 1 - List of observations & responses

11. Background papers

11.1 Cabinet Report, 10 March 2026

<https://www.minutes.haringey.gov.uk/documents/s156417/Award%20of%20RWC%20and%20AC%20contract.pdf>

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix 1

SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS MADE IN RESPONSE TO THE QLTA NOTICE FOR VEOLIA WASTE CONTRACT DATED **18 MARCH 2026**

Observation received from a leaseholder in the building **BLAYDON 1 – 42**

I have stopped responding to these letters a long time ago for the simple reason, It's a complete waste of my time. I have owned my property since 2001 and I can confirm there has been NO external cleaning at Blaydon Close since i bought my flat and I have proof of that with photos.

Wires hanging out of external trunking on the side of the building for over 5 years, but what would be the point in reporting it.

Response:

The issue of the notice is a statutory responsibility, and the landlord still has to ensure it is compliant with this. The notice also provides the opportunity to make observations – it is a choice whether you take up the opportunity to make observations. As a leaseholder, all relevant documentation is still required to be sent. You will also have to take up any issues with the relevant teams and/or departments

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **WARWICK GARDENS 67-109 ODD**

This seems to indicate that we would personally be liable for 4 million - which obviously cannot be correct. Even if this is a charge for the estate over eight years this amounts to 22727 per household per year which again seems high. Please could you clarify the wording of this (I have attached a copy of the relevant paragraph in the letter).

Response:

Further to your email below, the clarification of the information in the notice is that the £4m is the annual amount to cover all housing related external cleansing areas outside of blocks and estates where relevant. This is apportioned across the total housing stock which comprises both tenants and leaseholders by Veolia. The total contract value for 8 years is £230m, this consultation for leaseholders is relevant for only £4m per annum for external cleansing outside of blocks or on housing estates. We do not know the individual annual recharge for your site. But this £4m

would be apportioned across a housing stock size including tenants and leaseholders where services are provided by Veolia.

It is not the case as you have calculated that the cost will be £23k for each household

Observation received from leaseholder in the building FAIRFAX ROAD (N8) 105/105A-111/111A

I am just a bit concerned on the overall costs which states under the terms of my lease my share will be approximately £4,000,000. I am hoping that is a typing error or that I am reading it incorrectly. Can this please be clarified

Response:

The information that begins the paragraph is COST OF THE AGREEMENT - the cost is for the estate cleansing across the borough

Observation received from leaseholder in the building GLADSTONE AVENUE 219/219A

I have received the notice of intention re. the QLTA for estate cleaning dated 18th March 2026. Under the heading 'cost of agreement' it states that the cost of the total agreement is £230,000,000 and that my share which is rechargeable under my lease will be approximately £4,000,000. Can you please confirm how much my share will be as this seems crazy.

Response:

£4m is the annual amount to cover all housing related external cleansing areas outside of blocks and estates where relevant. This is apportioned across the total housing stock which comprises both tenants and leaseholders by Veolia. The total contract value for 8 years is £230m, this consultation for leaseholders is relevant for only £4m per annum for external cleansing outside of blocks or on housing estates. We do not know the individual annual recharge for your site. But this £4m would be apportioned across a housing stock size including tenants and leaseholders where services are provided by Veolia.

As the notice also states, the current agreement doesn't commence until April 2027. This may mean that if there is any apportionment due, this will not come into effect until when the Estimates 27/28 is issued

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **LORDSHIP LANE 545 & 547**

I am a leaseholder of a self-contained maisonette with a private entrance and no shared internal communal areas. My property is located on a main road and does not appear to form part of an estate that receives the types of external cleansing services outlined in your proposal – **the consultation is a borough-wide consultation, so all leaseholders will be served with the notice. Not all blocks are within an estate, so if your block is not within an estate, then the costs may not apply & be chargeable to you**

The specific basis on which my property has been included in this consultation – **please see above response**

What exact services are proposed to be delivered to my building or its immediate surroundings – **if your block is not within an estate, then this programme will not apply to you**

How any costs would be apportioned to my property – **no apportionment has been done**

At present, it is not clear that my property benefits from any of the listed services (such as estate sweeping, bin area cleansing, graffiti removal, or bulk waste removal within an estate setting). As such, I would formally object to being included in any cost recovery for services that are not provided directly to my property - **if your block is not within an estate, then this programme will not apply to you**

I already contribute through council tax and existing service charges, and I would expect any additional charges to be both reasonable and directly linked to services actually received, in accordance with the terms of my lease – **any apportionment is done according to the terms of the lease**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **GLADSTONE AVENUE 113/113A**

I've received attached S20 today, which states that my share would be £4m. Can you please confirm whether this is a typo as the figure is ten times higher than the value of my flat! Can you please clarify what kind of external cleansing services would cost such an amount?

Response:

Further to your email below, I have had a look at the notice issued and you will realise that the information that starts the paragraph is COST OF THE AGREEMENT. The £4 million it refers to is the cost of the agreement, and not what you need to pay. The notice is borough wide notice, and therefore it will apply to blocks that are also within an estate as per the terms of their lease

Observation received from **F.L.A.G**

FLAG has a number of comments we would like taken into account.

Veolia has maintained very good customer services – they answer quickly and respond to eg dumping and unemptied bins. It is important that this level of accessibility and responsiveness is maintained in the future contract. This must include a dedicated phone number, not the council's customer switchboard which is hopeless - **We can confirm that a dedicated contact centre for the services will continue to operate under the Contractor.**

Online reporting. The LoveCleanStreets is the main portal, but often the response is poor. The app is also difficult to use when dumping is not at a property. Parts of our estate which are not immediately adjacent to a street address, and the River Lea towpath, are hard to identify on the app. We have had examples where we reported waste on the towpath and the App told us they were in Waltham Forest, which is not the case. So a better system of reporting is needed - **A key element of the new contract is a requirement to enhance the digital solution, with a focus on providing residents better feedback on reports. The council is also looking into ways to improve the data and mapping available.**

Removal of graffiti is one weak area. We report it via the app but it doesn't get followed up - **Graffiti clearance is a core part of the contract, and the contractor should be attending within the SLAs stated in the contract. Under the new contract the council will have better visibility to reports and will require photographic evidence of completion**

The letter mentions twice-yearly cleaning of all containers. Will this include the wheelie bins we are provided with at each staircase on our estate? They are very deep so almost impossible to clean by hand. Residents often don't identify a particular bin so there is no responsibility. If you live 3 floors up it is anyway difficult to carry water down - **Yes this will include all containers across estates**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **ST. MARY'S CLOSE 14-24 (EVEN)**

Digitally mapping estates to gain more accurate costings & achieve better resource planning from bidders which hopefully should reduce service costs & give more clarity on what we are paying for. This is absolutely vital otherwise less troublesome estates appear to have been cross-subsidising more problematic or larger estates. Also, clarity has been more than vague historically as only headline duties are published with days per week each estate will be visited. It has not stated how many hours per week nor what manpower attendance is expected. When challenging Haringey on delivery of service, the standard response has been: "The Estate Services Operative x1 attends St Marys Close once a week on a Thursday between 08.00 and 16.00 to carry out the scheduled cleaning work. The amount of time taken to carry out the scheduled work may vary. Normally one Estate Services Operative attends. However, this may vary depending on the nature and volume of work. I am unable to provide you with a further breakdown." As a result of current method of apportioning costs across the estates, our small development of 6flats has disproportionately paid an extortionate cost of £842.57pa per flat for cleaning what should only amount to half this amount based on what we pay for a block of 15flats that we manage just 5mins walking distance away that is maintained to much better/superior standards. This evidences overcharging for duties involved i.e. poor procurement/oversight resulting in extremely poor apportionment of cost -

The contractor will be required to attend each site on a schedule basis and undertake the following activities:

- sweep and litter pick of all areas within the estate, to an arm's length, plus the length of a litter picker, including hardstanding, playgrounds, grassed areas, and shrubs beds;
- removal of fly tipping;
- empty all litter bins;
- remove any fly-posting and graffiti that can be removed with non-mechanical equipment available to the operatives at each visit;
- clear all fly-tips or dumped waste.

As part of the price submission, bidders were required to provide a unit price to deliver services (where possible), for example a price per m2 to provide a twice weekly cleanse. This will allow the council to calculate a proportionate cost for each site based on the size and cleansing services received.

Flexibility in approach to achieve best value, giving bidders flexibility to redesign services to meet the needs of residents. It is dangerous to give the cleaning firm the power to decide what duties/hours they will dedicate to each estate per week without strong oversight by Haringey i.e. strict & regular monitoring. To date Haringey have proven to be extremely poor in any form of oversight / management / monitoring. As the saying goes; "if you can't measure it, you can't control it". However, the same should apply to Council Park Services who have been more than remiss these last few years by not delivering their service to maintain the grounds against the published criteria; Estate Services - **The flexibility was provided to bidders during the procurement process only. This allowed bidders to propose solutions which may achieve better value for money. For example, changing the cleansing days at some sites has allowed a more efficient use of resources. However, the contractors submitted solution becomes what they must deliver against. The council is improving the use of data and digital solutions to help monitor the performance of the contract.**

Introduction of twice-yearly cleansing of all containers & bin stores using a hot wash to keep bin stores & containers in better condition. This is better than now i.e. when needed - **not applicable - this feedback will be shared with contract team who will monitor services from 2027 onwards**

Proactive report & repairing of containers so issues are known quicker & response is without delay. No experience of this for us to comment - **not applicable - this feedback will be shared with contract team who will monitor services from 2027 onwards**

Dedicated team to deal with issues to give a more pro-active service to each estate. This is vital as to date it has been non-existent – **not applicable - this feedback will be shared with contract team who will monitor services from 2027 onwards**

Graffiti team to be core to this service with the hope this will reduce service costs & provide a more proactive approach to dealing with graffiti. No experience of this for us to comment - **not applicable - this feedback will be shared with contract team who will monitor services from 2027 onwards**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **KESSECK CLOSE 22-97**

I received a letter dated 21 January about an update to the External Estate Cleansing Contract where you are looking to invite new contractors to bid for this service moving forward other than Veolia. What I want to understand is - what covers this and the cleaning? Council Tax or Leaseholder Service Charge?

Response:

Cleansing of external communal areas and bin stores, including removal of fly-tipping is covered under the Leaseholder Service Charge, whilst other services (such as collection of waste) is covered by Council Tax.

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **CHETTLE COURT 1-147**

I have lived in Chettle Court since 1979 and the biggest problem for me is a relatively recent phenomenon of dumping house clearance making for a major obstruction preventing anyone from getting near the bins.

Given that it is almost certainly being caused by landlords of privately-owned and out-rented flats, this should be stopped as the Council is then also subsidising private renters in the clearance of their properties - **This fall outside of the scope of this contract. Whilst any fly-tipping will be cleared by the contractor, and evidence collected, enforcement of fly-tipping is investigated and dealt with separately.**

I notice how different the two bin areas are: the main one and the one nearest Mountview Road which nearly always feels reasonably clean and pleasant. I prefer to use the Mountview end one even though it is further for me to go with my rubbish - **This fall outside of the scope of this contract. Whilst the contractor will provide a hot wash of bin stores and containers twice a year, the responsibility for maintaining them throughout the year is with the Estate Service Officer who visit each estate on a weekly basis.**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **BLAYDON CLOSE 59-98**

I would be grateful for clarification on how the costs of the proposed contract will be apportioned to leaseholders and whether there are measures in place to ensure transparency and affordability - **The council has undertaken an exercise to map the external areas of each estate and number of bin stores. As part of the price submission, bidders were required to provide a unit price to deliver services (where possible), for example a price per m2 to provide a twice weekly cleanse. This will allow**

the council to calculate a proportionate cost for each site based on the size and cleansing services received.

Please advise whether leaseholders will receive a clear breakdown of costs relating specifically to estate cleansing services under the new contract - **This will be part of the leaseholders' annual service charge process. Each year leaseholders will be given costs pertaining to their own estate cleaning services for the year. This cost will be labelled 'estate cleaning' on your service charge statement.**

I would welcome confirmation of the performance monitoring arrangements that will be in place to ensure service standards are maintained and represent value for money - **The contractor's performance will be monitored by council officers on a regular basis, this will include assessment of individual sites and joint monitoring with the contractor's supervisors and officers on a monthly basis. In addition, a third party organisation will undertake on a industry standard quality survey to assess the standard of areas on a quarterly basis. The performance mechanism provides the council with the ability to ensure that the contractor attends as required and includes SLAs for reactive services such as removal of graffiti. A monthly performance meeting will be set up, in which any issues will be discussed an action plan put in place to address areas of improvements.**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building PLEVNA CRESCENT 151-203 (ODD)

As Leaseholders and as well as residents within the Borough of Haringey who pay for most of the services provided by Veolia, why were we not also included when you first carried out an extensive borough-wide consultation according to your letter? - **The borough-wide consultations were promoted through a number of resident and community groups, concierges on estates, events across the borough and online during. The initial 2023 survey received over 8,000 responses. The results of both consultations were factored into the report to Cabinet in October 2024.**

On paragraph 2 of this abovementioned letter which was dropped at our doorstep, you have asserted that you carried an extensive borough-wide consultation with ALL Haringey residents regardless of tenure but we did not receive any documentation other than this letter dated 21 January 2026 giving us the 31 deadline on something we never received any documentation. Therefore, we would like to request all Documentation relating this Consultation by Post and Email within the next 7 days - **The**

consultations can be found online at:

<https://haringeywastestrategy.commonplace.is> and,

<https://haringeywastebestvalue.commonplace.is>

In the light of the fact that we did not receive the Documentation for this Consultation it is our understanding that the Consultation should be re-instated because there is a likelihood that many other residents did not receive this Documentation either - The letter sent out to in January to which you have replied to has given yourself and all leaseholders an opportunity to engage and make their observations. **For reference we have included copies of the original consultation.**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building SCOTLAND GREEN 7-37

Litter Picking and sweeping around estates - please confirm how often will this occur on the estate - **Twice weekly**

Removal of bulky waste – From date waste reported, when will it be removed? - **24 hours from time of reporting**

Removal of fly tipping - From date waste reported, when will it be removed? - **24 hours from time of reporting**

Removal of graffiti and fly posters - From date waste reported, when will it be removed? - **24 hours for all Offensive Graffiti and flyposting, below a height of 3 metres above ground level; 48 hours for all Offensive Graffiti and flyposting, above a height of 3 metres above ground level; 5 Working Days for graffiti and flyposting, below a height of 3 metres above ground level; and 60 Working Days for graffiti and flyposting, over a height of 3 metres above ground level.**

Treatment of weeds – How often is this done? - **four times per year via an approved weed spray on all hardstanding surfaces**

To what degree is this task done on the estate?

In other words perimeter of buildings, all paths, play areas etc?

Cleansing of containers and bins – **I assume you are referring jet wash, if this is the case what is the frequency, if not please describe the process? - Twice annually**

Ad-Hoc cleaning tasks – Provide example of areas where this work may be required? - **Jet washing a path/estate road due to oil spillage**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **HIGH CROSS ROAD 141-163 (ODD)**

Firstly, while I acknowledge that my initial calculation was based on the total contract value, the revised figure of £4 million still requires proper breakdown and justification. In particular, I request:

A detailed explanation of how the £4 million leaseholder contribution has been calculated – **still awaiting this from the Project Team, will send the information as soon as received**

A clear breakdown of how these costs are expected to be distributed across estates and individual leaseholders – **this is yet to be known, this will only become available when the agreement commences**

An estimate (or range) of the likely annual cost per leaseholder for my specific estate, based on current assumptions – **please refer to the response above**

Secondly, you state that charges will vary depending on estate size and works carried out. While I understand this in principle, this makes it even more important for leaseholders to be provided with indicative costs or worked examples. Without this, it is difficult to assess affordability or reasonableness – **this is Long Term Agreement, and details of any indicative works may only become available when the agreement commences**

Thirdly, I would like further information regarding:

The scope of services included within this £4 million leaseholder contribution - The contractor will be required to attend each site on a schedule basis and undertake the following activities: - **sweep and litter pick of all areas within the estate, to an arm's length, plus the length of a litter picker, including hardstanding, playgrounds, grassed areas, and shrubs beds; - removal of fly tipping; - empty all litter bins; - remove any fly-posting and graffiti that can be removed with non-mechanical equipment available to the operatives at each visit; - clear all fly-tips or dumped waste, -twice yearly cleans of all containers & bin stores, - proactive report & repairing of containers. As part of the price submission, bidders were required to provide a unit price to deliver services (where possible), for example a price per m2 to provide a twice weekly cleanse. This will allow the council to calculate a proportionate cost for each site based on the size and cleansing services received.**

The procurement and tendering process used to award the contract - **Haringey Council used a formal, above threshold Competitive Dialogue procurement process**

Whether alternative, more cost-effective options were considered before proceeding - **No, the size and nature of the services meant that an open tender process would provide the best value for money**

As you will be aware, under the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985, leaseholders are only liable for service charges that are reasonably incurred and for services carried out to a reasonable standard. At present, the information provided does not allow me to properly assess whether these criteria are met – **be advised that the agreement doesn't commence until April 2027, and the agreement is for a term of 8 years, so its early**

Given the financial implications, I would also appreciate confirmation of whether any cap, safeguard, or cost control mechanism is in place to protect leaseholders from significant or unexpected increases over the duration of the agreement – **charges will only be applicable to estates only for services that would have been provided**

Observation received from leaseholder in the building **ALBANY CLOSE**

I'm a resident and leaseholder in Albany Close. The estate is in a strange place where almost every property has a garden, but there is no dedicated bins for garden waste. Are there provisions in this new agreement to tackle this issue?

Response:

Yes, in principle

Haringey's recent waste tender and the new contract awarded by Cabinet explicitly include:

- Garden waste collections
- Service design changes to address different property types, including estates
- The ability to introduce or expand services where current provision is not fit for purpose

This page is intentionally left blank